

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF GEORGIA  
COLUMBUS DIVISION**

MICHAEL A. GLOVER,

Plaintiff,

V.

Deputy Warden LETITIA BURKS,

Defendant.

---

:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:

NO. 4:23-cv-00019-CDL-MSH

**NOTIFICATION OF MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS**

Defendant Deputy Warden Letitia Burks filed a motion for judgment on the pleadings (ECF No. 16) on September 19, 2023. The Court is required to adequately advise Plaintiff of the significance of Defendant's motion. *See Griffith v. Wainwright*, 772 F.2d 822 (11th Cir. 1985) (per curiam). In an effort to afford Plaintiff, who is proceeding *pro se*, adequate notice and time to respond to Defendant's motion, the following notice is given.

Under the procedures and policies of this Court, motions for judgment on the pleadings are normally decided on briefs. Plaintiff may submit his argument to this Court by filing a brief in opposition to Defendant's motion for judgment on the pleadings. Unless the Court has granted prior permission, any brief should not exceed 20 pages. M. D. Ga. Civ. R. 7.4.

**FAILURE OF PLAINTIFF TO RESPOND TO AND REBUT THE LEGAL  
ARGUMENTS SET FORTH IN DEFENDANT'S BRIEF MAY RESULT IN**

**THESE STATEMENTS BEING ACCEPTED AS UNCONTESTED AND CORRECT.** The Court could grant judgment to Defendant and there would be no trial or further proceedings for this Defendant. Accordingly, Plaintiff is **NOTIFIED** of his right to submit a response brief **WITHIN 21 DAYS** of the date of this Order. Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(1)(B); M.D. Ga. Civ. R. 7.2. Thereafter, the Court will consider Defendant's motion for judgment on the pleadings and any opposition to the same filed by Plaintiff and issue its ruling.

SO ORDERED, this 19th day of September, 2023.

/s/ Stephen Hyles

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE